Controlled Dimerization of Mn$_{12}$ Single-Molecule Magnets
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ABSTRACT: Controlled dimerization of Mn$_{12}$ single-molecule magnets (SMMs) was achieved via a synthetic route involving a competition between bridging and terminal ligands, namely, diols and alcohols. The reaction using a 1:1 ratio of the competing ligands resulted in the isolation of a new family of covalently linked dimers of Mn$_{12}$ SMMs. This is the first step toward the controlled growth of SMM oligomeric arrays.

Miniaturization of modern devices necessitates the development of (multi)functional nanomaterials. In addition, the increasing need for computing power for advanced applications has led to the intense investigation of materials for quantum computing. Furthermore, the prospects of ultrahigh-density data storage and molecular spintronics led inorganic chemists to investigate the possibility of using molecular magnets for these applications. All of these prospects constitute motivation for the development of materials based on molecular clusters and single-molecule magnets (SMMs).1

SMMs are transition-metal or lanthanide clusters and coordination compounds with appreciable and occasionally high-spin ground states. They are crystalline materials (single crystals) and monodisperse. Another advantage is that they are soluble in organic solvents, and their properties can thus be altered with standard solution chemistry methods.2 However, their superparamagnetic properties usually become apparent only at liquid-helium temperatures. Even though one goal of researchers in this area is to raise the blocking temperature of Mn$_{12}$ SMMs. This is the first step toward the controlled growth of SMM oligomeric arrays.

Once polymerization had been established,3 we shifted our attention to developing methods to oligomeric products. We hypothesized that an induced competition between the diols (linkers) and monoalcohols (capping agents) could lead to oligomer formation. Furthermore, we used a 1:1 ratio of the two competing ligands to target dimer formation. We chose to investigate cyclohexane–dimethanol (chdH$_2$) linkers, in order to preclude any steric hindrance, and after some preliminary experimentation, we found success using pivalate ligands. Thus, the reaction of preformed Mn$_{12}$Ac with pivalic acid, chdH$_2$, and the monoalcohol (methanol, MeOH; in a 1:1 ratio with the linker) gave a solution, from which were isolated single crystals of [Mn$_{12}$O$_{12}$(O$_2$CCMe$_3$)$_{14}$(O$_2$CMe)$_2$(chdH$_2$)(MeOH)(H$_2$O)]$_2$ (1) suitable for X-ray crystallography.

The structure (Figure 1, top) reveals that two Mn$_{12}$ clusters are linked by the chdH$_2$ linker, and polymerization is capped by two MeOH terminal ligands. The asymmetric unit comprises (a) the Mn$_{12}$ core, namely, a [Mn$_{12}$O$_{12}$]$^{3-}$ cubane unit at the center of a nonplanar ring of eight Mn$^{3+}$ ions, all bridged by a total of 12 µ$_1$-O$^-$ ions, (b) 16 carboxylates (14 pivalates/2 acetates), (c) a bridging chdH$_2$, and (d) a terminal MeOH and a terminal water (H$_2$O; Figure S1).

The Mn$^{3+}$–Mn$^{3+}$ distance at the point of linkage is ~10.3 Å, while the mean distance between the cyclohexane rings is ~10.2 Å. Steric hindrance with the chdH$_2$ groups is likely the reason for the presence of two acetate ligands near the linkers, instead of all of the carboxylates being pivalates. The packing diagram in Figure S2 reveals that this is a site with high electron density, and as such, a mixed-carboxylate [Mn$_{12}$]$^{3+}$ complex results. Further evidence is provided by the Hirshfield surface analysis of the asymmetric unit in 1.7 The Hirshfield surface reveals very short contacts at the areas between the two clusters, which explains the need for a less bulky carboxylate at these spots (Figures 1, bottom, and S3). Moreover, the symmetry-generated dimer is in an anti conformation to minimize inter-Mn$_{12}$ steric hindrance from the carboxylates (Figure 1, middle).

Similar structures were obtained when the reaction was performed using ethanol, 1-propanol, 1-butanol, and 1-pentanol,
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albeit the isolated yields and crystal quality were significantly lower for these analogues. Figure 2 shows the structure of complex Core structure of $[\text{Mn}_{12}\text{O}_{12}\text{(O}_2\text{CCMe}_3)_{14}\text{(O}_2\text{CCH}_3)_{2-}\text{(chdH}_2)\text{(PrOH)}(\text{H}_2\text{O})]_2$ (2), the 1-propanol analogue (emphasized with orange bonds). The Mn$^{3+}$–Mn$^{3+}$ distance at the bridging site is similar to that of 1, namely, 10.3 Å, and the mean distance between the cyclohexane rings is also 10.3 Å. The core is not altered, with nearly identical bond angles and distances. It is thus evident that the identity of the terminal ligands does not significantly affect the overall structure of the Mn$_{12}$ dimer.

Magnetic susceptibility studies were performed on a microcrystalline sample of 1. The direct-current (dc) magnetic susceptibility revealed features typical in Mn$_{12}$ SMMs, namely, a combination of ferro- and antiferromagnetic interactions and a ground state of $S = 10$ (Figure S4). No significant exchange interaction between the two Mn$_{12}$ units was evident in the dc studies, as no significant drop in the susceptibility was observed at the lowest temperatures. This was to be expected because of the chdH$_2$ linker, which does not have a continuous π system to facilitate superexchange. In previous studies of SMM oligomers, this was accomplished using carboxylates, carbamates, oximes, and azides. Thus, as expected for 1, no communication between the Mn$_{12}$ units was observed in data at $\geq 5.0$ K. This is also corroborated by the in-phase alternating-current (ac) studies, which confirm an $S = 10$ ground state. The out-of-phase ac studies (Figure S5) reveal superparamagnetic properties for the complex, with frequency-dependent peaks signifying SMM behavior. It is also noted that there is no evidence of fast-relaxing species present in this sample.

Magnetization hysteresis studies were performed on single crystals of 1 using a $\mu$-SQUID. The coercivity increases with decreasing temperature and increasing field sweep rates, while characteristic steps were also observed (Figure 3) because of QTM, which is typical of many SMMs. Figure 3B shows a derivative spectrum of the hysteresis study. The peaks appear to

---

**Figure 1.** (top) Core structure of 1, with carboxylate groups and terminal H$_2$O molecules omitted for clarity. (middle) Side view of the core of 1, showing the anti conformation of the Mn$_{12}$ units. See Figure S1 for the complete structure. (bottom) Hirshfeld surface ($d_{e}$) for the asymmetric unit of 1. The red areas denote small intermolecular contacts, and the blue areas indicate large ones. Color code: Mn$^{4+}$, purple; Mn$^{3+}$, green; O, red; C, gray.

**Figure 2.** Core structure of 2, with carboxylates and terminal H$_2$O molecules omitted for clarity. Color code: Mn$^{4+}$, purple; Mn$^{3+}$, green; O, red; C, gray.

**Figure 3.** (A) Isothermal magnetization hysteresis loops at 3.0 K. (B) First derivative plot.
be superimposed with the first step at zero magnetic field, which affirms the absence of exchange-bias effects, even those originating through space (i.e., dipole–dipole interactions). One thing that is noticeable, however, is the variable size of the QTM steps and their irregular spacing. This is partially due to the crystal packing of the material, where dimers are present in two different orientations. This necessitated the field to be aligned in an intermediate orientation, which explains the irregularity in the spacing of the QTM steps (Figure 3B).

In order to measure the zero-field-splitting parameter $D$ and to be able to compare it to previously reported values for Mn$_{12}$ polymeric chains$^6$ and Mn$_{12}$ monomers,$^3$ high-frequency/high-field electron paramagnetic resonance (HFEPR) measurements were performed on microcrystalline samples of 1 and 2 (Figure S6). The fitting was performed using the Hamiltonian in eq 1, using parameters from Table 1 and $B^y_1 = -2.0 \times 10^{-7}$ cm$^{-1}$. The results are summarized in Table 1.

$$\hat{H} = D(\hat{S}_x^2 + \hat{B}_y^2 \hat{S}_z^2) + \mu_B B_g S$$

(1)

Table 1. HFEPR Results for Complexes 1 and 2

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>$g_x/g_y$</th>
<th>$g_z$</th>
<th>$D$ (cm$^{-1}$)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1.875</td>
<td>1.965</td>
<td>$-0.467$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>1.921</td>
<td>1.910</td>
<td>$-0.464$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The data revealed that 1 and 2 exhibit nearly identical $D$ values, which is consistent with the previously identified trend of the $D$ values being dependent upon the distance between the Mn$_{12}$ units (in both 1 and 2, the Mn$^{3+} - \text{Mn}^{3+}$ distance at the linkage site is 10.3 Å).$^6$ In addition, the $D$ values of 1 and 2 appear to be lower than those of the Mn$_{12}$ polymeric chains in which the easy axes are oriented parallel to the chain direction and slightly higher than the chain where the easy axes are perpendicular to the length of the chain (see Figure S7).$^6$ Because in complexes 1 and 2 the easy axes of the Mn$_{12}$ units are in an intermediate orientation, the HFEPR results are consistent with the structural features of the materials. Thus, we have identified a new trend in these linked Mn$_{12}$ systems, where the $D$ value appears to depend on the easy axis orientation as opposed to the direction of the oligomer or polymer formation.

In conclusion, the rational synthesis of the first dimeric species based on Mn$_{12}$ SMMs is reported. Complex 1 was characterized using structural and physical methods, which revealed the absence of inter-Mn$_{12}$ exchange interactions. Through the HFEPR study, we also uncovered a new trend in the structure–property relationship of linked Mn$_{12}$ systems, where the HFEPR-measured $D$ value appears to be consistently dependent on the relative orientation of the Mn$_{12}$ easy axis with the linkage direction. In the synthesis of 1, the diol/alcohol ratio was 1:1, which was also retained in the ligand ratio on the asymmetric unit. This deliberate linker/terminal ligand ratio led to the formation of a dimer in a highly controlled manner. This constitutes proof-of-principle for our synthetic approach. The competing-ligand methodology has so far produced a number of dimeric analogues and represents a promising future route to higher oligomers. Furthermore, it is hypothesized that a priori variation of the linker-to-terminal ligand ratio will lead to oligomers of targeted size.
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